Research and Evaluation in Arts in Medicine HUM6597, Section 4H70

Credit Hours: 3

Summer B/2018 Meeting Times and Location: Online

Instructor: Judy Holt Rollins

Office Location: 1406 28th Street, NW, Washington, DC 20007; 202-944-3504

Office Hours: TBA

Contact Information: Office—202-944-3504; Mobile—202-258-8276; Email—

jrollins@arts.ufl.edu

Course Website: http://lss.at.ufl.edu

Course Communications: General questions should be posted on the discussion board and

private questions sent to the instructor via the Canvas Inbox.

Course Description

This online course explores research and evaluation in arts in medicine. Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods will be discussed, with an emphasis on arts informed approaches for use in the healthcare settings, be it the hospital or in the community. Through video lectures, readings, writing assignments and weekly online discussion, students will learn the basics of developing a research proposal and evaluation plan.

Purpose of Course

The purpose of this course is to provide basic knowledge in evaluation and research with an emphasis on arts informed approaches to enable the student to effectively evaluate programs and to contribute to the growing body of knowledge in the field Arts in Medicine.

Course Goals

- To prepare students to effectively evaluate arts programming
- To develop students' understanding of the research process

Course Learning Objectives

- Distinguish between evaluation and research.
- Demonstrate understanding of the program evaluation process.
- Compare and contrast quantitative and qualitative methods.
- Discuss the use of arts informed methods/approaches in arts in medicine research and evaluation.
- Determine the critical components of a research proposal.

Required Text

Babbie, E. (2016). *The practice of social research* (4th ed.). Boston: Cengage Learning.

Additional Resources

Additional readings and online resources are posted in Course Materials on the course website and listed in the Course Outline table below.

Optional: Knowles, J., & Cole, A. (2008). *Handbook of the arts in qualitative research.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Optional: Creswell, J. (2014). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Creswell, J. (2014). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches.* Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Fancourt, D. (2017). *Arts in health: Designing and researching interventions*. Oxford, England: Oxford Press.

Dates for Ongoing Assignments

Discussion Board Posts: Posts are due on Mondays by 11:59PM Eastern Time

Critical Dates for Major Assignments

- 1. Interview with Evaluator Report (500 words)—Due Friday, July 13, 2017 at 11:59 PM EST
- 2. Logic Model (Model plus 1–2 page narrative)—Due Monday, July 6, 2017 at 11:59 PM EST
- 3. Topic of Interest Interview Report (750 words)—Due Monday, July 30, 2017 at 11:59 PM EST
- 4. Observation Report (500 words)—Due Monday, July 16, 2017 at 11:59 PM EST
- 5. Evaluation Plan (750 words minimum)—Due Friday, August 10, 2017 at 11:59 PM EST
- 6. Topical Outline for Research Proposal—Due Monday, August 6, 2017 at 11:59 PM EST
- 7. NIH Protecting Human Research Participants Certificate of Completion—Due Monday, July 30 at 11:59 PM EST

Course Schedule

Module 1: Introduction to Research and Evaluation

- Discuss differences between evaluation and research
- List three distinctions between a quantitative study and a qualitative study.
- Analyze the factors that affect selection of a research approach.

| Topic | Readings/Media | Assignment | Due Date | |
|-------------|--|---------------------------|----------|--|
| History and | View Instructor Intro to Course | 1. Syllabus Quiz | 1. 6/25 | |
| Overview of | • Read Shadish, W., & Luellen, J. (2005). | 2. Intro Essay | 2. 6/25 | |
| Evaluation | History of evaluation. In S. Mathison (Ed.), | 3. Interview an Evaluator | 3. 7/13 | |
| | Encyclopedia of evaluation (pp. 183–186). | 4. Discussion Board 1 | 4. 6/25 | |
| | Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. (pdf) | | | |

- View Nonprofit Program Evaluation: A
 Conversation with Robert Fischer of Case
 Western Reserve University
 https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ccFEy8YM
 SgQ
- Read Upfold, D., & Turner, N. (2015). Types of program evaluation. Retrieved from http://www.problemgambling.ca/EN/Resources-ForProfessionals/Pages/TypesofProgramEvaluation.aspx
- Read Creswell, J. (2014). Chapter 1: The selection of a research approach

Module 2: Evaluation Planning

- Describe the steps in the evaluation process.
- Prepare a logic model that demonstrates the theory of change.
- Discuss the importance of formulating the problem.

| • Discus | s the importance of formulating the problem. | | | |
|---|--|-------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| Overview of Evaluation Planning Program Theory and Logic Models | View CDC Evaluation Framework. Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=tOjieBh1c e0 Read McNarmara, C. (n.d.) Basic guide to program evaluation. Free Management Library. Retrieved from http://managementhelp.org/evaluation/program-evaluation-guide.htm Read resources for developing an evaluation plan: Community Tool Box. Retrieved from http://ctb.ku.edu/en/table-of-contents/evaluate/evaluation/evaluation-plan/main Watch Brief Introduction to Program Logic Models (5 mins) retrieved from https://search.yahoo.com/search?ei=utf-8&fr=aaplw&p=video+on+developing+a+logic+model Watch Logic Model Basics Webinar (10:43 mins) retrieved from http://my.brainshark.com/Logic-Model-Basics-Webinar-884288960 Watch Understanding Logic Models by way of a simple analogy - Accelerate Now with Michael Brand (3:56 mins) retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JFYQoHvNLQQ Review the following evaluation planning | 1. 2. | Logic Model Discussion Board 2 | 1. 7/6 2. 7/2 |
| | | | | |
| | oads/CDC-Evaluation-Workbook- 508.pdf) W K. Kellogg Foundation Logic Model Development Guide. | | | |

| (http://www.wkkf.org/resource- |
|--|
| directory/resource/2006/02/wk-kellogg- |
| foundation-logic-model-development- |
| guide) |

- W.K. Kellogg Foundation Evaluation Handbook.

(http://www.wkkf.org/resource-directory/resource/2010/w-k-kellogg-foundation-evaluation-handbook)

- GAO Designing Evaluations
(http://gao.gov/assets/590/588146.pdf)

Module 3: Quantitative Methods

- Apply principles of good survey design.
- List four factors that affect survey response rates.
- Identify three physiological measures with potential value for evaluating arts in medicine programming.

| Quantitative | • | Read Babbie, E. (2016). Chapters 8: | 1. | Survey | 1. | 7/9 |
|---------------|---|--|----|------------------------|----|------|
| Designs | | Experiments; Chapter 9: Survey Research; and | 2. | Physiological Measures | 2. | 7/13 |
| | | Chapter 14: Quantitative Date Analysis | | Essay | | |
| Surveys | • | Watch Designing Good Survey Questions (2:14 | 3. | Discussion Board 3 | 3. | 7/9 |
| Sample Sizes | | mins). Retrieved from | | | | |
| | | https://search.yahoo.com/search?ei=utf- | | | | |
| Types of Data | | 8&fr=aaplw&p=video+on+designing+good+su | | | | |
| | | rveys | | | | |
| | • | Watch 7 Tips for Good Survey Questions (4:01 | | | | |
| | | mins). Retrieved from | | | | |
| | | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Iq_fhTuY1 | | | | |
| | | hw | | | | |
| | • | Read Sample Size Calculator. Retrieved from | | | | |
| | | http://www.surveysystem.com/sscalc.htm | | | | |
| | • | Watch Types of Data: Nominal, Ordinal, | | | | |
| | | Interval/Ratio. Retrieved from | | | | |
| | | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hZxnzfnt5v | | | | |
| | | 8 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Module 4: Qualitative Methods

- List four considerations when conducting a research interview.
- Demonstrate the steps of analyzing interview data.
- Prepare an observation report.
- Discuss qualitative methodologies used in arts and healthcare

| Qualitativa | P - 1D-11: Γ (2010) Ch - 4 - 10 O - 14-4: | 1 Interview Cuide | 1 7/0 |
|---------------------------------------|--|---|-------------------|
| Qualitative Designs | • Read Babbie, E (2016): Chapter 10: Qualitative | Interview Guide Observation Report | 1. 7/9 2. 7/16 |
| Designs | Field Research; Chapter 11: Unobtrusive Research; and Chapter 13: Qualitative Data | 3. Discussion Board 4 | 3. 7/16 |
| Interviewing | Analysis | 3. Discussion Board 4 | 3. //10 |
| interviewing | • Watch Gibbs, G. (2013). "How to do a Research | | |
| Observations | Interview." (18:10) Retrieved from | | |
| O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ZYCHvXd | | |
| | G1bE | | |
| | • Read Patton, M. (2015). "Ten Interview | | |
| | Principles and Skills" from <i>Qualitative research</i> | | |
| | and evaluation methods (4 th ed.). Thousand | | |
| | Oaks, CA: Sage, p. 424. (pdf attached) | | |
| | • Watch Lofgren, K. (2014). "Qualitative Analysis | | |
| | of Interview Data: A Step-by-Step Guide." | | |
| | (6:51) Retrieved from | | |
| | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=DRL4PF2u9 | | |
| | XA | | |
| | • Read Clifford, S. (n.d.) "Tipsheet—Qualitative | | |
| | Interviewing." Retrieved from | | |
| | http://dism.ssri.duke.edu/pdfs/Tipsheet%20- | | |
| | %20Qualitative%20Interviews.pdf | | |
| | • Watch Gibbs, G. (2012). "What to observe in | | |
| | Participant Observation." (26:15) Retrieved from | | |
| | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JADIR- | | |
| | J9Ht4 | | |
| | • Read Moss, H. Donnellan, C., & O'Neill, D. | | ļ. |
| | (2012). A review of qualitative methodologies | | ļ. |
| | used to explore patient perceptions of arts and healthcare. <i>Medical Humanities</i> , 38, 106–109. | | |
| | (pdf attached) | | |
| | Read Bedwell (2001). "Measuring Joy: | | |
| | Evaluation at Baltimore Clayworks." Retrieved | | |
| | from | | |
| | http://isites.harvard.edu/fs/docs/icb.topic974245. | | |
| | files/Various%20optional%20related%20reading | | |
| | s/measuring%20joy.pdf | | |
| | Read FLACC. Retrieved from | | |
| | http://pain.about.com/od/testingdiagnosis/ig/pain | | |
| | -scales/Flacc-Scale.htm#step-heading | | |
| | Watch Schultz (2012) "Analysing Your | | |
| | Interviews." | | |
| | Read "How and What to Code" and watch | | |
| | videos of a lecture by G. Gibbs (2010) at | | |
| | http://onlineqda.hud.ac.uk/Intro_QDA/how_wha | | |
| | t_to_code.php | | |
| | s informed Methods | | |
| | e arts based research. | | |
| | ine the use of the arts in research. | | |
| • Descr | be four purposeful sampling methods. | | |
| | | 1 44 500 | 1 7/22 |
| Arts- | • Watch King, A. (2013). Arts based research. | 1. Arts-genre Reflective | 1. 7/23 |
| informed Designs | Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s91PRFR | Essay 2 Tonic of Interest | 2 7/30 |
| LIDENINIS | THIRS //WWW VOILING COM/WAICH/V=c91PRER | 1 Z. TODIC OF HIELEST | 1 4. // 70 |

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s91PRFB 6PXs

Topic of Interest

Interview Report

2. 7/30

Designs

| Purposeful Sampling | Read Cole, A., & Knowles, J. G. (2008). Arts-informed research. In J. G. Knowles, & | 3. Discussion Board 5 | 3. 7/23 | | |
|------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Swinty mig | A. Cole, Handbook of the arts in qualitative | | | | |
| | Sage. | | | | |
| | • Read Fraser, K., & al Sayah, F. (2011). Arts-based methods in health research: A | | | | |
| | systematic review of the literature. <i>Arts & Health</i> , <i>3</i> (2), 110–145. | | | | |
| | • Watch Sharma, L. (2014). The power of a | | | | |
| | dream (1:59). Retrieved from https://vimeo.com/113817270 | | | | |
| | • Watch Anonymous. (2014). <i>The pole</i> (1:28). Retrieved from https://vimeo.com/113817091 | | | | |
| | • Watch Chinapen, R. (2014). <i>The journey home</i> (1:52). Retrieved from | | | | |
| | https://vimeo.com/113817269 | | | | |
| | • Watch Workman, A. (2015). Visual visionaries of arts based research (4:18). | | | | |
| | Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yjL7s11Q | | | | |
| | 9bw | | | | |
| | • Watch Flipp, C. (2014). <i>Qualitative sampling</i> (5:34). Retrieved from | | | | |
| | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=- Dn4u9DPmDs | | | | |
| | Read Rollins, J. (2018). Being Participatory Through Play. | | | | |
| | Read Patton, M. (2015). Purposeful Sampling Strategies. | | | | |
| Module 6: Eva | luation Plan | | | | |
| - | lete an evaluation plan. nstrate the Appreciative Inquiry process. | | | | |
| | Review the following resources: | Evaluation Plan | 1. 8/10 | | |
| | Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2011). Developing an | 2. NIH Extramural Training | 2. 7/30 | | |
| | effective evaluation plan. Retrieved from | 3. Discussion Board 6 | 3. 7/30 | | |
| | http://www.cdc.gov/obesity/downloads/C DC-Evaluation-Workbook-508.pdf | | | | |
| | U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development. (1997). Developing an | | | | |
| | evaluation plan. Retrieved from https://www.bja.gov/evaluation/guide/doc | | | | |
| | uments/developing an evalu.htm | | | | |
| | American Academy of Pediatrics. (2008). Evaluation your community-based | | | | |
| | <pre>program. Retrieved from http://www2.aap.org/commpeds/htpcp/Ev</pre> | | | | |
| | alGuide2.pdf | | | | |
| | Watch NHS Health Scotland. (2013). Outcomes planning: Developing indicators | | | | |
| | and evidencing change (8:41). Retrieved https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yQKTIGC | | | | |

| ~ | | |
|-----|---|----|
| (÷v | h | H٦ |
| | | |

- Watch O'Neil, G. (2012). New ways to present evaluation findings (13:18). Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?t=577&v=xx hydbc1Uoc
- Watch Kelm, J. (2014). *What is AI?* Retrieved from https://vimeo.com/70482219
- Read Rollins, J. (2007, November 30). Getting to "how": Using Appreciative Inquiry in arts and health evaluation. Paper presented at the conference "Through the Looking Glass, Leeds, England. (pdf)

Module 7: The Research Proposal

- List the essential elements of a research proposal.
- Discuss the features of appropriate articles for a literature review for a research proposal.
- Prepare a topical outline for an arts-based research proposal.
- Discuss the three core concepts of ethical research.

| Elements of a research proposal | • | Watch Gramenz, G. (2014). <i>Intro to research methodology</i> (13:40). Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1LGuNn9 Q0bI Watch Sevillano, L. (2010). <i>The research proposal</i> (13:50). Retrieved from |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| | | https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zJ8Vfx472 1M |

- Read Creswell, J. (2014). Chapter 5: The Introduction; Chapter 6: The Purpose Statement; and Chapter 7: Research Questions and Hypotheses.
- Watch Mallon, M. (2012). Evaluating journal articles with the CAARP test (3:05). Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Q5Se7lxSANM
- Read Babbie, E. (2016). Chapter 3: The Ethics and Politics of Social Research.
- Read Torres, R., Preskil, H., & Piontek, M. (2005). Creative forms of communicating and reporting. In R. Torres, H. Preskill, & M. Piontek (Eds.), Evaluation strategies for communicating and reporting (2nd ed., pp. 221–262). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage. (pdf)
- Read *UF IRB Types of protocol review*. Retrieved from http://irb.ufl.edu/irb02/formstemplates-guidelines/irbrev.html
- Watch Flipp, C. (2014). Research ethics (7:00).
 Retrieved from https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Zbi7nIbAu
- Review research outline example: Rolllins (2011) Exploring the Ability of a Drawing by Proxy Intervention to Improve Quality of Life for Hospitalized Children.

 Topical Outline for Research Proposal

. Discussion Board 7

1. 8/6

2. 8/6

Assignments (# assignments: Please refer to the Assignment Guide in Canvas for assignment details and guidelines.) Total Points: 224

- 1. Syllabus Quiz. (1 point). Students will complete a short quiz about the syllabus.
- **2. Autobiographical essay.** (1 point). Students will write an autobiographical essay (150–250 words) introducing themselves and post to the discussion board.
- 3. **Interview with an evaluator.** (12 points). Students will interview an individual who is professionally involved in conducting some form of evaluative activity, analyze and discuss results, and present in a 350-word written summary.
- 4. **Discussion Board.** (7 posts, 12 points each). Students will substantively participate in seven online discussions (one week in duration each) using the Discussion Board on the course website. A topic or discussion question, often relating directly to required reading, will be posted and each student will post an initial response in essay form and also respond to other posts. Students will rotate as discussion facilitators. By the end of the week, the discussion facilitator will submit one succinct post articulating a collective viewpoint, or lack thereof with reasoning, in response to the prompt.
- 5. **Logic model.** (21 points). Students will develop a logic model of a familiar or a desired program accompanied by a brief (1–2 page) narrative.
- 6. **Survey.** (12 points). Students will develop a five-question survey for use in evaluating an arts program.
- 7. **Physiological Measures Essay.** (5 points). Students will write a 250-word essay about a physiological measure for possible use in an arts-in-medicine evaluation or research project.
- 8. **Topic of Interest Interview Report.** (18 points). Students will conduct interviews and write a 750-word report.
- 9. **Observation Report.** (15 points). Students will write a 500-word report discussing findings of a 30-minute observation.
- 10. **Arts-genre Reflective Essay.** (5 points). Students will write a 300-word essay reflecting on a research project that uses an art form as a research method.
- 11. **Evaluation Plan.** (30 points). Students will develop an evaluation plan of at least 750 words.
- 12. **Topical Outline for Research Proposal.** (15 points). Students will develop a topical outline of about 250 words for an arts-based research proposal.
- 13. NIH Extramural Training. (5 points). Students will complete NIH's online training.

Disclaimer

This syllabus represents my current plans and objectives. As we go through the semester, those plans may need to change to enhance the class learning opportunity. Such changes, communicated clearly, are not unusual and should be expected.

Teaching Philosophy

This is a graduate level course with the following assumptions:

- Each student brings a wealth of experience and knowledge that should be and can be tapped into during classroom and small group discussions.
- Adults learn best through actively participating in their own education.
- Students best clarify their ideas and understanding of concepts and issues through discussion and writing.
- The design takes into consideration the differences in learning styles and interests of students to ensure the best learning experience for everyone.
- Students learn best from close and prompt feedback on classroom comments and on written work.

Course Procedures and Policies

Naming Your Documents

When naming your assignment document, it will be helpful if you name them by the title of the assignment, i.e. IndResPres_yourlastnamefirstinitial, for me this would be IndResPres_Rollinsj. If you abbreviate in this manner, it makes finding the assignment and accounting for it an easy and efficient task.

Formatting Documents

All work submitted include discussion board posts and major assignments must use APA formatting. We recommend that you use the online APA Style Guide at the Purdue Online Writing Lab (OWL). http://owl.english.purdue.edu/owl/section/2/10/

Please use APA formatting for document formatting, in text citations, and reference lists. Be sure to properly cite all sources that you quote or paraphrase.

Completing and Submitting an Assignment

Assignments will be completed and submitted online. To work on an assignment:

- 1. Open the appropriate site.
- 2. In the menubar, click Assignments.
- 3. Click the name of the assignment to open it.
- 4. To work on the assignment, follow the instructions from your instructor or project leader. You may need to type within the text box, or add an attachment, or both.
- 5. To add an attachment, click **Browse** to find and select the file on your computer (maximum 5 attachments by this method), or click **or select files from workspace or site**; see Options for adding attachments.
- 6. To see how your assignment will look to your instructor or project leader, click **Preview**.
- 7. To save your assignment to complete later, click **Save Draft** at the bottom of the screen. When you want to reopen it, you'll find it listed in the assignment list with a status of "In progress." **Note:** While your assignment is saved as a draft, your instructor cannot see it. For your instructor to see it, you must submit the assignment.
- 8. When you have finished, click **Submit** at the bottom of the screen. **Note:** Don't click **Submit** until you're certain you're finished with an assignment. Once

you click **Submit**, you'll no longer be able to access the assignment (e.g., to add more text or attachments) unless your instructor returns it to you.

You will receive an email message confirming your submission. If you do not receive a confirmation, check to see if you've successfully submitted the assignment.

Late Assignment Submission Policy

Major assignments will be accepted no later than six days after the due date. Points will be reduced from late assignments at a rate of 5% per day. This policy does not apply to Discussion Board. Late work will not be accepted on the Discussion Board without communication prior to the due date. Instructors will not accept work submitted later than six days following the deadline except in extenuating circumstances and with prior approval by the instructor.

Class Participation/Demeanor Policy

Participation in all aspects of this course is essential to your success. Every reading, assignment, and interaction within the course is integral to your learning, and full participation is required. It is expected that you will log on to the course website and contribute to discussions forums at least three times per week.

Communication

It is the student's responsibility to communicate with the instructor promptly concerning any circumstances that might effect his or her participation in the course. Please do not let any questions or concerns you have go unattended. It is the instructor's intention to respond to all email communication within 48 hours, excluding weekends.

Written communication and electronic interaction are central to online learning. All members of the class are expected to follow rules of common courtesy in all email messages, threaded discussions and chats. Please see Netiquette Guide: http://teach.ufl.edu/docs/NetiquetteGuideforOnlineCourses.pdf

Students with Disabilities

Students requesting accommodation must first register with the Dean of Students Office at http://www.dso.ufl.edu/drc/. The Dean of Students Office will provide documentation to the student who must then provide this documentation to the Instructor when requesting accommodation. This documentation must be submitted prior to submitting assignments or taking the quizzes or exams. Accommodations are not retroactive, therefore, students should contact the office as soon as possible in the term for which they are seeking accommodations.

Academic Honesty

All students sign the following statement upon registration at the University of Florida: "I understand that the University of Florida expects its students to be honest in all their academic

work. I agree to adhere to this commitment to academic honesty and understand that my failure to comply with this commitment may result in disciplinary action up to and including expulsion from the University." As instructor for this course, I fully support the intent of the above statement and will not tolerate academic dishonesty. The university's policies regarding academic honesty, the honor code, and student conduct related to the honor code will be strictly enforced. Full information regarding these policies is available at the following link: https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/advising/info/student-honor-code.aspx.

University Counseling Services

UF Counseling and Wellness 3190 Radio Rd.
P.O. Box 112662, University of Florida Gainesville, FL 32611-2662 352-392-1575; www.counsel.ufl.edu

Technical Issues

For issues with technical difficulties for E-learning, please contact the UF Help Desk at:

- Learning-support@ufl.edu
- (352) 392-HELP select option 2
- https://lss.at.ufl.edu/help.shtml

Complaints

Should you have any complaints with your experience in this course please visit http://www.distance.ufl.edu/student-complaints to submit a complaint.

Grading Scale

| Letter Grade | % Equivalency | GPA Equivalency |
|-------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| A | 95-100% | 4.0 |
| A- | 92-94% | 3.67 |
| B+ | 89-91% | 3.33 |
| В | 85-88% | 3.00 |
| В- | 82-84% | 2.67 |
| C+ | 79-81% | 2.33 |
| С | 75-78% | 2.00 |
| C- * | 72-74% | 1.67 |
| D+ | 69-71% | 1.33 |
| D | 65-68% | 1.00 |
| D- | 62-64% | .67 |
| E, I, NG, S-U, WF | | 0.00 |

Please note that a C- is no longer an acceptable grade for any course in which a 2.0 GPA is required, for example, any course in the major. UF grading policy website: https://catalog.ufl.edu/ugrad/current/regulations/info/grades.aspx#calculatinggp a